arXiv:1201.3476v1 [math.QA] 17 Jan 2012

SMALL REPRESENTATIONS FOR AFFINE q-SCHUR ALGEBRAS

JIE DU AND QIANG FU

Abstract. When the parameter $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is not a root of unity, simple modules of affine q-Schur algebras have been classified in terms of Frenkel–Mukhin's dominant Drinfeld polynomials ([6, 4.6.8]). We compute these Drinfeld polynomials associated with the simple modules of an affine q-Schur algebra which come from the simple modules of the corresponding q-Schur algebra via the evaluation maps.

1. Introduction

Small representations of quantum affine $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ are the representations which are irreducible when regarded as representations of (non-affine) quantum $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. In other words, by the evaluation maps [11] from $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ to $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$, these representations are obtained from irreducible representations of quantum $\mathfrak{gl}_n$. Small representations have been identified by Chari–Pressley [3] in terms of Drinfeld polynomials whose roots are described explicitly but fairly complicatedly.

When the parameter is not a root of unity, simple representations of affine q-Schur algebras have also been classified [6, Ch. 4] in terms of finite dimensional simple polynomial representation for the quantum loop algebras of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$. These representations are labeled by dominant Drinfeld polynomials in the sense of [9]. Using the evaluation maps from affine q-Schur algebras to q-Schur algebras, every irreducible representation of a q-Schur algebra becomes an irreducible representations of the corresponding affine q-Schur algebra. Motivated by the work of [3], we will identify these small representations of affine q-Schur algebras in this paper by working out precisely their associated dominant Drinfeld polynomials.

Our method uses directly evaluation maps from affine q-Schur algebras to q-Schur algebras. By a compatibility relation with evaluation maps for quantum $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ (Proposition 5.4), we will reproduce Chari–Pressley's result [3, 3.5] with simplified formulas for the roots of Drinfeld polynomials associated with small representations of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$. In this way, the dominant Drinfeld polynomials for small representations of affine q-Schur algebras can be easily described by their roots in segments; see Corollary 7.3.

In a forthcoming paper, we will look at a more general question. Since every simple representations of an affine q-Schur algebra can be obtained by a generalized evaluation map from a simple representation of a certain cyclotomic q-Schur algebra introduced by Lin–Rui [13], it would be interesting to classify those which are inflated from *semisimple cyclotomic* q-Schur algebras. By

Date: January 18, 2012.

Supported by the UNSW 2011 Goldstar Award and the National Natural Science Foundation of China, the Program NCET, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

identifying them, we would be able to construct a completely reducible full subcategory of finite dimensional modules of an affine q-Schur algebra.

The paper is organized as follows. The first three sections are preliminary. Starting with the definitions of the double Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathfrak{D}_{\triangle,\mathbb{C}}(n)$ and the quantum loop algebra $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ and an isomorphism between them in §2, we discuss in §3 polynomial representations of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and the tensor space representations of $\mathfrak{D}_{\triangle,\mathbb{C}}(n)$ and present a classification of simple modules for the affine q-Schur algebras. In §4, we look at the classification of simple modules of the affine q-Schur algebras arising from representations of affine Hecke algebra. Evaluation maps from affine q-Schur algebras to q-Schur algebras are defined in §5 and we also prove a certain compatibility identity associated with the evaluation maps for quantum groups. In §6, we reproduce naturally a result of Chari–Pressley by simplifying the formulas for the roots of Drinfeld polynomials associated with small representations of quantum $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$. Dominant Drinfeld polynomials associated with small representations of affine q-Schur algebras are computed in §7. We end the paper with an application to representations of affine Hecke algebras.

Throughout the paper, $q \in \mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ denote a complex number which is not a root of unity. For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ := \mathbb{N}\backslash\{0\}$, $m \leqslant n$, let

$$[m,n] = \{m, m+1, \dots, n\}, \quad [n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}, \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[n]_q[n-1]_q \cdots [n-m+1]_q}{[m]_q[m-1]_q \cdots [1]_q}.$$

All algebras are over $\mathbb{C}$.

2. Quantum loop algebras: a double Ringel–Hall algebra interpretation

We define two algebras by their generators and relations and give an explicit isomorphism between them. The first algebra is constructed as a Drinfeld double of two extended Ringel–Hall algebras.

Let $(c_{i,j})$ be the Cartan matrix of affine type A and let $I = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 2.1 ([6, 2.2.3])**.** The double Ringel–Hall algebra $\mathfrak{D}_{\triangle,\mathbb{C}}(n)$ of the cyclic quiver $\triangle(n)$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $E_i,\ F_i,\ K_i,\ K_i^{-1},\ \mathsf{z}_s^+,\ \mathsf{z}_s^-$, for $i \in I,\ s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and relations:

(QGL1) $K_iK_j = K_jK_i,\ K_iK_i^{-1} = 1$;

(QGL2) $K_iE_j = q^{\delta_{i,j}-\delta_{i,j+1}}E_jK_i,\ K_iF_j = q^{-\delta_{i,j}+\delta_{i,j+1}}F_jK_i$;

(QGL3) $E_iF_j - F_jE_i = \delta_{i,j}\frac{\widetilde{K}_i - \widetilde{K}_i^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}}$, where $\widetilde{K}_i = K_iK_{i+1}^{-1}$;

(QGL4) $\displaystyle\sum_{a+b=1-c_{i,j}} (-1)^a \begin{bmatrix} 1-c_{i,j} \\ a \end{bmatrix}_q E_i^a E_j E_i^b = 0$ for $i \neq j$;

(QGL5) $\displaystyle\sum_{a+b=1-c_{i,j}} (-1)^a \begin{bmatrix} 1-c_{i,j} \\ a \end{bmatrix}_q F_i^a F_j F_i^b = 0$ for $i \neq j$;

(QGL6) $\mathsf{z}_s^+\mathsf{z}_t^+ = \mathsf{z}_t^+\mathsf{z}_s^+, \mathsf{z}_s^-\mathsf{z}_t^- = \mathsf{z}_t^-\mathsf{z}_s^-,\ \mathsf{z}_s^+\mathsf{z}_t^- = \mathsf{z}_t^-\mathsf{z}_s^+$;

(QGL7) $K_i\mathsf{z}_s^+ = \mathsf{z}_s^+K_i,\ K_i\mathsf{z}_s^- = \mathsf{z}_s^-K_i$;

(QGL8) $E_i\mathsf{z}_s^+ = \mathsf{z}_s^+E_i,\ E_i\mathsf{z}_s^- = \mathsf{z}_s^-E_i,\ F_i\mathsf{z}_s^- = \mathsf{z}_s^-F_i$, and $\mathsf{z}_s^+F_i = F_i\mathsf{z}_s^+$,

where $i,j\in I$ and $s,t\in\mathbb{Z}^+$. It is a Hopf algebra with comultiplication Δ, counit ε, and antipode σ defined by

$$\begin{gathered}\Delta(E_i)=E_i\otimes\widetilde{K}_i+1\otimes E_i,\quad \Delta(F_i)=F_i\otimes 1+\widetilde{K}_i^{-1}\otimes F_i,\\ \Delta(K_i^{\pm1})=K_i^{\pm1}\otimes K_i^{\pm1},\quad \Delta(\mathtt{z}_s^{\pm})=\mathtt{z}_s^{\pm}\otimes 1+1\otimes\mathtt{z}_s^{\pm};\\ \varepsilon(E_i)=\varepsilon(F_i)=0=\varepsilon(\mathtt{z}_s^{\pm}),\quad \varepsilon(K_i)=1;\\ \sigma(E_i)=-E_i\widetilde{K}_i^{-1},\quad \sigma(F_i)=-\widetilde{K}_iF_i,\quad \sigma(K_i^{\pm1})=K_i^{\mp1},\\ \text{and }\ \sigma(\mathtt{z}_s^{\pm})=-\mathtt{z}_s^{\pm},\end{gathered}$$

where $i\in I$ and $s\in\mathbb{Z}^+$.

Let $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ be the subalgebra generated by $E_i,\ F_i,\ \widetilde{K}_i,\widetilde{K}_i^{-1}$ for $i\in[1,n]$. This is the quantum affine $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. Let $U_{\vartriangle}(n)_{\mathbb{C}}$ (resp., $U(n)_{\mathbb{C}}$) be the subalgebra generated by $E_i,\ F_i,\ K_j,\ K_j^{-1}$ for $i,j\in[1,n]$ (resp., $i\in[1,n),j\in[1,n]$). This is the (extended) quantum affine $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ (resp. quantum $\mathfrak{gl}_n$).

The second algebra follows Drinfeld [8].

Definition 2.2. The *quantum loop algebra* $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ (or *quantum affine* $\mathfrak{gl}_n$) is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $\mathtt{x}_{i,s}^{\pm}$ $(1\leqslant i<n,\ s\in\mathbb{Z})$, $\mathtt{k}_i^{\pm1}$ and $\mathtt{g}_{i,t}$ $(1\leqslant i\leqslant n,\ t\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\})$ with the following relations:

(QLA1) $\mathtt{k}_i\mathtt{k}_i^{-1}=1=\mathtt{k}_i^{-1}\mathtt{k}_i,\ [\mathtt{k}_i,\mathtt{k}_j]=0$;

(QLA2) $\mathtt{k}_i\mathtt{x}_{j,s}^{\pm}=q^{\pm(\delta_{i,j}-\delta_{i,j+1})}\mathtt{x}_{j,s}^{\pm}\mathtt{k}_i,\ [\mathtt{k}_i,\mathtt{g}_{j,s}]=0$;

(QLA3) $[\mathtt{g}_{i,s},\mathtt{x}_{j,t}^{\pm}]=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } i\neq j,\ j+1,\\ \pm q^{-is}\frac{[s]_q}{s}\mathtt{x}_{i,s+t}^{\pm}, & \text{if } i=j,\\ \mp q^{(1-i)s}\frac{[s]_q}{s}\mathtt{x}_{i-1,s+t}^{\pm}, & \text{if } i=j+1;\end{cases}$

(QLA4) $[\mathtt{g}_{i,s},\mathtt{g}_{j,t}]=0$;

(QLA5) $[\mathtt{x}_{i,s}^{+},\mathtt{x}_{j,t}^{-}]=\delta_{i,j}\frac{\phi_{i,s+t}^{+}-\phi_{i,s+t}^{-}}{q-q^{-1}}$;

(QLA6) $\mathtt{x}_{i,s}^{\pm}\mathtt{x}_{j,t}^{\pm}=\mathtt{x}_{j,t}^{\pm}\mathtt{x}_{i,s}^{\pm}$, for $|i-j|>1$, and $[\mathtt{x}_{i,s+1}^{\pm},\mathtt{x}_{j,t}^{\pm}]_{q^{\pm c_{ij}}}=-[\mathtt{x}_{j,t+1}^{\pm},\mathtt{x}_{i,s}^{\pm}]_{q^{\pm c_{ij}}}$;

(QLA7) $[\mathtt{x}_{i,s}^{\pm},[\mathtt{x}_{j,t}^{\pm},\mathtt{x}_{i,p}^{\pm}]_q]_q=-[\mathtt{x}_{i,p}^{\pm},[\mathtt{x}_{j,t}^{\pm},\mathtt{x}_{i,s}^{\pm}]_q]_q$ for $|i-j|=1$,

where $[x,y]_a=xy-ayx$ and $\phi_{i,s}^{\pm}$ are defined by the generating functions in indeterminate u:

$$\Phi_i^{\pm}(u):=\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i^{\pm1}\exp(\pm(q-q^{-1})\sum_{m\geqslant1}\mathtt{h}_{i,\pm m}u^{\pm m})=\sum_{s\geqslant0}\phi_{i,\pm s}^{\pm}u^{\pm s}$$

with $\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i=\mathtt{k}_i/\mathtt{k}_{i+1}$ $(\mathtt{k}_{n+1}=\mathtt{k}_1)$ and $\mathtt{h}_{i,m}=q^{(i-1)m}\mathtt{g}_{i,m}-q^{(i+1)m}\mathtt{g}_{i+1,m}$ $(1\leqslant i<n)$.

Beck [1] proved that the subalgebra, called the quantum loop algebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$, generated by all $\mathtt{x}_{i,s}^{\pm}$, $\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i^{\pm1}$ and $\mathtt{h}_{i,t}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$.

Set, for each $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and each $i \in [1, n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\pm s} &= \mp \frac{1}{[s]_q}(\mathtt{g}_{1,\pm s} + \cdots + \mathtt{g}_{n,\pm s}), \\ \mathcal{X}_i &= [\mathtt{x}^-_{n-1,0}, [\mathtt{x}^-_{n-2,0}, \cdots, [\mathtt{x}^-_{i+1,0}, [\mathtt{x}^-_{1,0}, \cdots, [\mathtt{x}^-_{i-1,0}, \mathtt{x}^-_{i,1}]_{q^{-1}} \cdots]_{q^{-1}}]_{q^{-1}}]_{q^{-1}}]_{q^{-1}}, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{Y}_1 &= [\cdots [[\mathtt{x}^+_{1,-1}, \mathtt{x}^+_{2,0}]_q, \mathtt{x}^+_{3,0}]_q, \cdots, \mathtt{x}^+_{n-1,0}]_q. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.1}$$

The following isomorphism extends Beck's isomorphism.

Theorem 2.3 ([6, 4.4.1])**.** *There is a Hopf algebra isomorphism*[1]

$$f : \mathfrak{D}_{\triangle,\mathbb{C}}(n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} &K_i^{\pm 1} \longmapsto \mathtt{k}_i^{\pm 1}, \quad E_j \longmapsto \mathtt{x}^+_{j,0}, \quad F_j \longmapsto \mathtt{x}^-_{j,0}\ (1 \leqslant i \leqslant n,\ 1 \leqslant j < n), \\ &E_n \longmapsto q\mathcal{X}_1\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_n, \quad F_n \longmapsto q^{-1}\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_n^{-1}\mathcal{Y}_1, \quad \mathtt{z}_s^{\pm} \longmapsto \mp s q^{\pm s}\theta_{\pm s}\ (s \geqslant 1). \end{aligned}$$

It is known from [5, Rem. 6.1] that, for every $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$,

$$f(E_n) = q\mathcal{X}_1\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_n = (-1)^{i-1} q\mathcal{X}_i\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_n. \tag{2.3.1}$$

The following elements we define will be used in defining pseudo-highest modules in next section.

For $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the elements $\mathscr{P}_{j,s} \in \mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ through the generating functions

$$\mathscr{P}_j^{\pm}(u) := \exp\Big(-\sum_{t \geqslant 1} \frac{1}{[t]_q} \mathtt{h}_{j,\pm t}(qu)^{\pm t} \Big) = \sum_{s \geqslant 0} \mathscr{P}_{j,\pm s} u^{\pm s} \in \mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)[[u, u^{-1}]].$$

Note that

$$\Phi_j^{\pm}(u) = \widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_j^{\pm 1} \frac{\mathscr{P}_j^{\pm}(q^{-2}u)}{\mathscr{P}_j^{\pm}(u)}. \tag{2.3.2}$$

For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the elements $\mathscr{Q}_{i,s} \in \mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ through the generating functions

$$\mathscr{Q}_i^{\pm}(u) := \exp\Big(-\sum_{t \geqslant 1} \frac{1}{[t]_q} \mathtt{g}_{i,\pm t}(qu)^{\pm t} \Big) = \sum_{s \geqslant 0} \mathscr{Q}_{i,\pm s} u^{\pm s} \in \mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)[[u, u^{-1}]]. \tag{2.3.3}$$

Note that

$$\mathscr{P}_j^{\pm}(u) = \frac{\mathscr{Q}_j^{\pm}(uq^{j-1})}{\mathscr{Q}_{j+1}^{\pm}(uq^{j+1})}, \tag{2.3.4}$$

for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$.

[1]Note that $f|_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)}$ is the same map as given in [5, Prop. 6.1] as $[x, y]_{q^{-1}} = q^{-1/2}(q^{1/2}xy - q^{-1/2}yx)$. However, the map used in [3, Prop. 2.5] is the f here followed by an automorphism of the form $\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{i,s} \mapsto a^s \mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{i,s}$, $\mathtt{g}_{i,t} \mapsto a^t \mathtt{g}_{i,t}$, and $\mathtt{k}_i \mapsto \mathtt{k}_i$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

3. Simple pseudo-highest weight $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-modules

Let V be a *weight* $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-module (resp., weight $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module) of type 1. Then $V = \oplus_{\mu\in\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} V_\mu$ (resp., $V = \oplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}^n} V_\lambda$) where

$$V_\mu = \{v \in V \mid \widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_j w = q^{\mu_j} w,\ \forall 1 \leqslant j < n\} \quad (\text{resp., } V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid \mathtt{k}_j w = q^{\lambda_j} w,\ \forall 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n\}).$$

Nonzero elements of V_λ are called weight vectors.

Following [4, 12.2.4], a nonzero weight vector $w \in V$ is called a *pseudo-highest weight vector*, if there exist some $P_{j,s} \in \mathbb{C}$ (resp. $Q_{i,s} \in \mathbb{C}$) such that

$$\mathtt{x}^+_{j,s} w = 0, \quad \mathscr{P}_{j,s} w = P_{j,s} w, \quad (\text{resp., } \mathtt{x}^+_{j,s} w = 0, \quad \mathscr{Q}_{i,s} w = Q_{i,s} w). \tag{3.0.5}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. The module V is called a *pseudo-highest weight module* if $V = \mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) w$ (resp., $V = \mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) w$) for some pseudo-highest weight vector w.

Following [9], an n-tuple of polynomials $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1(u), \dots, Q_n(u))$ with constant terms 1 is called *dominant* if, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, the ratios $Q_i(q^{i-1}u)/Q_{i+1}(q^{i+1}u)$ is a polynomial. Let $\mathcal{Q}(n)$ be the set of dominant n-tuples of polynomials.

For $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1(u), \dots, Q_n(u)) \in \mathcal{Q}(n)$, define $Q_{i,s} \in \mathbb{C}$ ($1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$) by the following formula

$$Q_i^{\pm}(u) = \sum_{s \geqslant 0} Q_{i,\pm s} u^{\pm s}.$$

Here $f^+(u) = \prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m}(1 - a_i u) \iff f^-(u) = \prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m}(1 - a_i^{-1}u^{-1})$.

Let $I(\mathbf{Q})$ be the left ideal of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ generated by $\mathtt{x}^+_{j,s}, \mathscr{Q}_{i,s} - Q_{i,s}$, and $\mathtt{k}_i - q^{\lambda_i}$, where $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\lambda_i = \deg Q_i(u)$. Define Verma type module

$$M(\mathbf{Q}) = \mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)/I(\mathbf{Q}).$$

Then $M(\mathbf{Q})$ has a unique (finite dimensional) simple quotient, denoted by $L(\mathbf{Q})$. The polynomials $Q_i(u)$ are called *Drinfeld polynomials* associated with $L(\mathbf{Q})$.

Similarly, for an $(n-1)$-tuples $\mathbf{P} = (P_1(u), \dots, P_{n-1}(u)) \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ of polynomials with constant terms 1, define $P_{j,s} \in \mathbb{C}$ ($1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$) as in $P_j^{\pm}(u) = \sum_{s\geqslant 0} P_{j,\pm s} u^{\pm s}$ and let $\mu_j = \deg P_j(u)$. Replacing $\mathscr{Q}_{i,s} - Q_{i,s}, \mathtt{k}_i - q^{\lambda_i}$ by $\mathscr{P}_{i,s} - P_{i,s}, \widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i - q^{\mu_i}$ in the above construction defines a simple $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-module $\bar{L}(\mathbf{P})$. The polynomials $P_i(u)$ are called *Drinfeld polynomials* associated with $\bar{L}(\mathbf{P})$.

Theorem 3.1. (1)*([9]) The $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-modules $L(\mathbf{Q})$ with $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}(n)$ are all nonisomorphic finite dimensional simple polynomial representations of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. Moreover,*

$$L(\mathbf{Q})|_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)} \cong \bar{L}(\mathbf{P})$$

where $\mathbf{P} = (P_1(u), \dots, P_{n-1}(u))$ with $P_i(u) = Q_i(q^{i-1}u)/Q_{i+1}(q^{i+1}u)$.

(2)*([2, 4]) Let $\mathcal{P}(n)$ be the set of $(n-1)$-tuples of polynomials with constant terms 1. The modules $\bar{L}(\mathbf{P})$ with $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ are all nonisomorphic finite dimensional simple $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-modules of type 1.*

Let $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}$ (resp., $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}$) be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with basis $\{\omega_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ (resp., $\{\omega_i \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\}$). It is a natural $\mathfrak{D}_{\vartriangle,\mathbb{C}}(n)$-module with the action

$$\begin{aligned} E_i \cdot \omega_s = \delta_{i+1,\bar{s}}\omega_{s-1}, \quad F_i \cdot \omega_s = \delta_{i,\bar{s}}\omega_{s+1}, \quad K_i^{\pm 1} \cdot \omega_s = q^{\pm\delta_{i,\bar{s}}}\omega_s, \\ \mathsf{z}_t^+ \cdot \omega_s = \omega_{s-tn}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{z}_t^- \cdot \omega_s = \omega_{s+tn}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.1}$$

Hence, $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}$ is a $U(n)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module.

Note that there is no weight vector in $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}$ which is vanished by all E_i. Hence, this is not a highest weight module in the sense of [14].

The Hopf algebra structure induces a $\mathfrak{D}_{\vartriangle,\mathbb{C}}(n)$-module $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$, and hence, an algebra homomorphism

$$\zeta_{\vartriangle,r} : \mathfrak{D}_{\vartriangle,\mathbb{C}}(n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}). \tag{3.1.2}$$

Similarly, there is an algebra homomorphism

$$\zeta_r : U(n)_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}). \tag{3.1.3}$$

The images $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{im}(\zeta_{\vartriangle,r})$ and $\mathcal{S}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{im}(\zeta_r)$ are called an affine q-Schur algebra and a q-Schur algebra, respectively.

The study of representations of affine q-Schur algebras [6, Ch. 4] shows that all finite dimensional simple $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-modules $L(\mathbf{Q})$ constructed above are simple $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-modules. They are nothing but the composition factors of all tensor spaces.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{Q}(n)_r = \{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}(n) \mid r = \sum_{i=1}^n \deg Q_i(u)\}$ and let $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}})$ denote the set of isoclasses of simple $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-modules (and hence, simple $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-modules under $\zeta_{\vartriangle,r}$). Then*

$$\bigcup_{r\geqslant 0} \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}) = \{[L(\mathbf{Q})] \mid \mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}(n)\},$$

and $\{[L(\mathbf{Q})] \mid \mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}(n)_r\}$ is a complete set of isoclasses of simple $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-modules. Moreover, every $L(\mathbf{Q})$ with $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}(n)_r$ is a quotient (equivalently, subquotient) module of $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$, and every composition factor of $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$ is isomorphic to $L(\mathbf{Q})$ for some $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}(n)_r$.

Proof. The first assertion is the classification theorem of simple $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-modules (see [6, 4.5.8]). The second assertion follows from the fact that every finite dimensional $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module is a homomorphic image of $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$ ([6, 4.6.2]). The last assertion is clear since every composition factor of $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$ is an $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module and every simple $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module is finite dimensional [6, 4.1.6]. □

4. Affine q-Schur algebras and their simple representations

Let $\mathfrak{S}_{\vartriangle,r}$ be the *affine symmetric group* consisting of all permutations $w : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that $w(i+r) = w(i) + r$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, $\mathfrak{S}_{\vartriangle,r} \cong \mathfrak{S}_r \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^r$, where $\mathfrak{S}_r$ is the symmetric group on r letters. Let $\Lambda(n,r) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid r = |\lambda|\}$ be the set of compositions of r into n parts and, for $\lambda \in \Lambda(n,r)$, let $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda$ be the Young subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_r$ (or of $\mathfrak{S}_{\vartriangle,r}$).

Let $\Lambda^+(n,r)$ be the subset of partitions (i.e. weakly decreasing compositions) in $\Lambda(n,r)$. Thus, $\Lambda^+(r)=\Lambda^+(r,r)$ is the set of all partitions of r. For partition λ, let λ' be the *dual* partition of λ (so $\lambda'_i=\#\{j\mid \lambda_j\geqslant i\}$).

Let $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C}$ be the Hecke algebra associated with $\mathfrak{S}_{\vartriangle,r}$. Thus, $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C}$ has a presentation with generators T_i, X_j $(i=1,\dots,r-1,\ j=1,\dots,r)$ and relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&(T_i+1)(T_i-q^2)=0,\\
&T_iT_{i+1}T_i=T_{i+1}T_iT_{i+1},\ \ T_iT_j=T_jT_i\ (|i-j|>1),\\
&X_iX_i^{-1}=1=X_i^{-1}X_i,\ \ X_iX_j=X_jX_i,\\
&T_iX_iT_i=q^2X_{i+1},\ \ X_jT_i=T_iX_j\ (j\neq i,i+1).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$ be the subalgebra generated by all T_i. This is the Hecke algebra of $\mathfrak{S}_r$.

Following [17], the tensor space $\Omega_\mathbb{C}^{\otimes r}$ admits a right $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C}$-module structure defined by

$$\text{(4.0.1)}\qquad \begin{cases} \omega_{\mathbf{i}}\cdot X_t^{-1}=\omega_{i_1}\cdots\omega_{i_{t-1}}\omega_{i_t+n}\omega_{i_{t+1}}\cdots\omega_{i_r}, & \text{for all } \mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}^r\\ \omega_{\mathbf{i}}\cdot T_k=\begin{cases} q^2\omega_{\mathbf{i}}, & \text{if } i_k=i_{k+1};\\ q\omega_{\mathbf{i}s_k}, & \text{if } i_k<i_{k+1};\\ q\omega_{\mathbf{i}s_k}+(q^2-1)\omega_{\mathbf{i}}, & \text{if } i_{k+1}<i_k, \end{cases} & \text{for all } \mathbf{i}\in I(n,r), \end{cases}$$

where $1\leqslant k\leqslant r-1$, $1\leqslant t\leqslant r$, and the action of $\mathfrak{S}_r$ on $I(n,r):=[1,n]^r$ is the place permutation. Apparently, this also defines an action of $\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$ on $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$.

The formulas of the comultiplication on $\mathsf{z}_t^\pm$ (2.1.1) and the first relation in (4.0.1) implies immediately the following.

Lemma 4.1 ([6, (3.5.5.2)])**.** *For any* $\mathbf{i}=(i_1,\dots,i_r)\in\mathbb{Z}^r$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{z}_t^+\cdot\omega_{\mathbf{i}}&=\sum_{s=1}^r\omega_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\omega_{i_{s-1}}\otimes\omega_{i_s-tn}\otimes\omega_{i_{s+1}}\otimes\cdots\otimes\omega_{i_r}=\omega_{\mathbf{i}}\sum_{i=1}^r X_i^t,\ \ \textit{and}\\
\mathsf{z}_t^-\cdot\omega_{\mathbf{i}}&=\sum_{s=1}^r\omega_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\omega_{i_{s-1}}\otimes\omega_{i_s+tn}\otimes\omega_{i_{s+1}}\otimes\cdots\otimes\omega_{i_r}=\omega_{\mathbf{i}}\sum_{i=1}^r X_i^{-t}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have the following generalization of the fact $\mathcal{S}(n,r)_\mathbb{C}=\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}}(\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r})$ for q-Schur algebras.

Theorem 4.2 ([6, 3.8.1])**.** *The actions of* $\mathfrak{D}_{\vartriangle,\mathbb{C}}(n)$ *and* $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C}$ *commute and*

$$\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{C}=\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C}}(\Omega_\mathbb{C}^{\otimes r})\ (n\geqslant 2, r\geqslant 1)\ \ \textit{and}\ \ \mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C}\cong\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{C}}(\Omega_\mathbb{C}^{\otimes r})^{\mathrm{op}}\ (n\geqslant r).$$

Let

$$x_\lambda:=\sum_{w\in\mathfrak{S}_\lambda}T_w.$$

Then, there are $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C}$-module and $\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$-module isomorphisms: $\Omega_\mathbb{C}^{\otimes r}\cong\oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda(n,r)}x_\lambda\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C}$ and $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}\cong\oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda(n,r)}x_\lambda\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$, which induce algebra isomorphisms:

$$\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{C}\cong\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C}}(\oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda(n,r)}x_\lambda\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C}),\quad \mathcal{S}(n,r)_\mathbb{C}\cong\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}}(\oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda(n,r)}x_\lambda\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}).$$

Like the q-Schur algebras, Theorem 4.2 implies that affine q-Schur algebras play a bridging role between representations of quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ and affine Hecke algebras. This becomes possible since we have established the isomorphism f in Theorem 2.3 between double Ringel-Hall algebras $\mathfrak{D}_{\triangle,\mathbb{C}}(n)$ and the quantum loop algebra $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. We now describe how simple $\mathcal{S}_{\triangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-modules arise from simple $\mathcal{H}_{\triangle}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-modules.

A *segment* with center $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and length k is by definition an ordered sequence

$$[a;k) = (aq^{-k+1}, aq^{-k+3}, \dots, aq^{k-1}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^k.$$

A *multisegment* is an unordered collections of segments, denoted by formal sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} [a_i;\nu_i) = [a_1;\nu_1) + \cdots + [a_p;\nu_p),$$

where, possibly, $[a_i;\nu_i) = [a_j;\nu_j)$ for $i \neq j$.

Let $\mathscr{S}_r$ be the set of all multisegments of total length r:

$$\mathscr{S}_r = \{[a_1;\nu_1) + \cdots + [a_p;\nu_p) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{C}^*, p, \nu_i \geqslant 1, r = \Sigma_i \nu_i\}.$$

For $\mathbf{s} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} [a_i;\nu_i) \in \mathscr{S}_r$, let

$$(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$$

be the r-tuple obtained by juxtaposing the segments in $\mathbf{s}$ and let $J_{\mathbf{s}}$ be the left ideal of $\mathcal{H}_{\triangle}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ generated by $X_j - s_j$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$. Then $M_{\mathbf{s}} = \mathcal{H}_{\triangle}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}/J_{\mathbf{s}}$ is a left $\mathcal{H}_{\triangle}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module[2] which as an $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module is isomorphic to the regular representation of $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_p)$. After reordering, we may assume that ν is a partition. Then, the element

$$y_\nu = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_\nu} (-q^2)^{-\ell(w)} T_w \in \mathcal{H}_{\triangle}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$$

generates the submodule $\mathcal{H}_{\triangle}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}\bar{y}_\nu$ of $M_{\mathbf{s}}$ which, as an $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module, is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}y_\nu$. For each partition λ of r, let E_λ be the left cell module defined by the Kazhdan–Lusztig's C-basis [12] associated with the left cell containing $w_{0,\lambda}$, the longest element of the Young subgroup $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda$. Then, as an $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module,

$$\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}y_\nu \cong E_\nu \oplus \Big(\bigoplus_{\mu \vdash r, \mu \rhd \nu} m_{\mu,\nu} E_\mu\Big). \tag{4.2.1}$$

Let $V_{\mathbf{s}}$ be the unique composition factor of the $\mathcal{H}_{\triangle}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module $\mathcal{H}_{\triangle}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}\bar{y}_\nu$ such that the multiplicity of E_ν in $V_{\mathbf{s}}$ as an $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module is nonzero. Note that, if $V_{\mathbf{s}}$ is $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-irreducible, then $V_{\mathbf{s}} = E_\nu$. We will use this fact in the last section.

We now can state the following classification theorem due to Zelevinsky and Rogawski. The construction above follows [16].

[2]Strictly speaking, the module $M_{\mathbf{s}}$ depends on the order of the segments in $\mathbf{s}$. However, the module $V_{\mathbf{s}}$ below does not.

Theorem 4.3. *Let* $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_\triangle(r)_\mathbb{C})$ *be the set of isoclasses of all simple* $\mathcal{H}_\triangle(r)_\mathbb{C}$*-modules. Then the correspondence* $\mathbf{s} \mapsto [V_\mathbf{s}]$ *defines a bijection from* $\mathscr{S}_r$ *to* $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_\triangle(r)_\mathbb{C})$.

Suppose $n > r$, we define a map

$$\partial : \mathscr{S}_r \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(n)_r, \quad \mathbf{s} \longmapsto \mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{s} = (Q_1(u), \dots, Q_n(u)) \tag{4.3.1}$$

as follows: for $\mathbf{s} = \sum_{i=1}^p [a_i; \nu_i) \in \mathscr{S}_r$, let $Q_n(u) = 1$ and, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, define

$$Q_i(u) = P_i(uq^{-i+1})P_{i+1}(uq^{-i+2}) \cdots P_{n-1}(uq^{n-2i}),$$

where $P_i(u) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leqslant j \leqslant p \\ \nu_j = i}} (1 - a_j u)$.

Here $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_p)$ is a partition of r. If $\mu_i := \deg P_i(u) = \#\{j \in [1,p] \mid \nu_j = i\}$ and $\lambda_i := \deg Q_i(u) = \#\{j \in [1,p] \mid \nu_j \geqslant i\}$, then $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n) = \nu'$, the dual partition of ν, and $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} = \mu_i$ for all $1 \leqslant i < n$.

This map is a bijection which gives the following identification theorem; see [6, §§4.3-5].

Theorem 4.4. *Assume* $n > r$. *Then we have* $\mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_\mathbb{C}$*-module isomorphisms* $\Omega_\mathbb{C}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_\triangle(r)_\mathbb{C}} V_\mathbf{s} \cong L(\mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{s})$ *for all* $\mathbf{s} \in \mathscr{S}_r$. *Furthermore, for any* n *and* r, *the set*

$$\{\Omega_\mathbb{C}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_\triangle(r)_\mathbb{C}} V_\mathbf{s} \mid \mathbf{s} = [a_1; \nu_1) + \cdots + [a_p; \nu_p) \in \mathscr{S}_r,\ p \geqslant 1,\ \nu_i \leqslant n,\ \forall i\}$$

forms a complete set of nonisomorphic simple $\mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_\mathbb{C}$*-modules.*

5. Compatibility of evaluation maps

Following [11, Rem. 2], every $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ defines a surjective algebra homomorphism

$$\mathsf{Ev}_a : U_\triangle(n)_\mathbb{C} \to U(n)_\mathbb{C}, \text{ the quantum } \mathfrak{gl}_n$$

such that, for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1) \quad \mathsf{Ev}_a(E_i) = E_i,\ \mathsf{Ev}_a(F_i) = F_i,\ \mathsf{Ev}_a(K_j) = K_j,\\
&(2) \quad \mathsf{Ev}_a(E_n) = aq^{-1}[F_{n-1}[F_{n-2}, \cdots, [F_2, F_1]_{q^{-1}} \cdots]_{q^{-1}}]_{q^{-1}} K_1 K_n,\\
&(3) \quad \mathsf{Ev}_a(F_n) = a^{-1}q[\cdots[[E_1, E_2]_q, E_3]_q, \cdots, E_{n-1}]_q (K_1 K_n)^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.0.1}$$

This is called the evaluation map at a for quantum affine $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. Note that our definition here is exactly the same as given in [5, p.316] or [3, Prop.3.4] (cf. footnote 1).

For any $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, there is also an evaluation map $\mathsf{ev}_a : \mathcal{H}_\triangle(r)_\mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$ (see, e.g., [5, 5.1]) such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathsf{ev}_a(T_i) = T_i, \qquad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1, \quad \text{and}\\
&\mathsf{ev}_a(X_j) = aq^{-2(j-1)} T_{j-1} \cdots T_2 T_1 T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{j-1}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant r.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.0.2}$$

Following the notation used in [10, 2.1] with $r = 1$ and $T_0 = a$ (in the notation there), we will write $L_j := \mathsf{ev}_a(X_j)$. Note that the elements $a^{-1}L_j = (q - q^{-1})\mathcal{L}_j + 1$ where $\mathcal{L}_j = \tilde{T}_{(1,j)} + \tilde{T}_{(2,j)} + \cdots + \tilde{T}_{(j-1,j)}$ ($\tilde{T}_w = q^{-l(w)} T_w$) are the usual Murphy operators.

We now use the evaluation map ev_a to induce an evaluation map $\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a$ from the affine q-Schur algebra to the q-Schur algebra.

First, there is a right $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C}$-module isomorphism $\Omega_\mathbb{C}^{\otimes r} \cong \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C}$. Second, the evaluation map $\mathsf{ev}_a : \mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$ induces a natural $\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$-module homomorphism

$$\varepsilon_a : \Omega_\mathbb{C}^{\otimes r} \to \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}, \quad xh \longmapsto x \cdot \mathsf{ev}_a(h), \tag{5.0.3}$$

for all $x \in \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 5.1. *The linear map*

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a : \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{S}(n,r)_\mathbb{C} \tag{5.1.1}$$

defined by $(\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a(\varphi))(x) = \varepsilon_a(\varphi(x))$*, for any* $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{C}$ *and* $x \in \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$*, is an algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. By regarding $\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$ as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C}$, one sees easily $\mathsf{ev}_a|_{\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}}$ is the identity map on $\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$. This fact implies that, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{C}$, $\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a(\varphi)$ is an $\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$-module homomorphism. To quickly see $\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a(\psi\varphi) = \widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a(\psi)\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a(\varphi)$, we may identify $\Omega_\mathbb{C}^{\otimes r}$ as the direct sum

$$\mathfrak{T}_\vartriangle(n,r) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n,r)} x_\lambda \mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C}$$

of q-permutation modules [17, Lem. 8.3], where $x_\lambda = T_{\mathfrak{S}_\lambda} = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda} T_w$, and take two double coset basis elements $\varphi = \varphi^x_{\mu\lambda}$ and $\psi = \varphi^y_{\nu\mu}$ so that $\varphi(x_\lambda) = T_{\mathfrak{S}_\mu x \mathfrak{S}_\lambda} = x_\mu T_x h$ and $\psi(x_\mu) = T_{\mathfrak{S}_\nu y \mathfrak{S}_\mu} = x_\nu T_y h'$ for some $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$, we have with $\bar{T}_z = \mathsf{ev}_a(T_z)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a(\psi\varphi)(x_\lambda) &= \varepsilon_a(x_\nu T_y h' T_x h) = x_\nu \bar{T}_y h' \bar{T}_x h \\
&= \widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a(\psi)(x_\mu)\bar{T}_x h = \widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a(\psi)(x_\mu \bar{T}_x h) = \widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a(\psi)(\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a(\varphi)(x_\lambda)) \\
&= (\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a(\psi)\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a(\varphi))(x_\lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

In order to make a comparison of representations, we need a certain compatibility relation between the evaluation maps $\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a$ and Ev_a and the natural homomorphisms $\zeta_{\vartriangle,r}$ and ζ_r given in (3.1.2) and (3.1.3). In the rest of the section, we establish such a result.

For notational simplicity, we will write the elements in $\Omega_\mathbb{C}^{\otimes r}$ by omitting the tensor sign $\otimes$. For $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, $\lambda \in \Lambda(n,r)$, and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant \lambda_1$, let

$$\omega_\mathbf{i} := \omega_{i_1}\omega_{i_2}\cdots\omega_{i_r}, \quad \mathtt{u}_{\lambda,j} = \omega_1^{j-1}\omega_n\omega_1^{\lambda_1-j}\omega_2^{\lambda_2}\cdots\omega_n^{\lambda_n}.$$

Lemma 5.2. *Maintain the notation above. The action of* $T_1T_2\cdots T_k$ *on* $\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,1}$ *is given by the formula:*

$$\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,1}T_1T_2\cdots T_k = q^k\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,k+1} + (q^2-1)\sum_{1\leqslant s\leqslant k} q^{2k-s-1}\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,s},$$

for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant \lambda_1 - 1$.

Proof. We prove it by induction on k. For $k=1$, the result following from the definition (4.0.1). Suppose the result holds for any s satisfying $s<k$. Then, by induction, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,1}T_1T_2\cdots T_k &= q^{k-1}\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,k}T_k + (q^2-1)\sum_{1\leqslant s\leqslant k-1} q^{2k-s-3}\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,s}T_k\\ &= q^{k-1}(q\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,k+1}+(q^2-1)\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,k}) + (q^2-1)\sum_{1\leqslant s\leqslant k-1} q^{2k-s-1}\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,s}\\ &= q^k\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,k+1} + (q^2-1)\sum_{1\leqslant s\leqslant k} q^{2k-s-1}\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,s},\end{aligned}$$

proving the formula. □

For $3\leqslant k\leqslant n$, let

$$\mathtt{f}_k = [F_{k-1}[F_{k-2},\cdots,[F_2,F_1]_{q^{-1}}\cdots]_{q^{-1}}]_{q^{-1}} \tag{5.2.1}$$

and let $\mathtt{f}_2=F_1$. Then $\mathsf{Ev}_a(E_n)=aq^{-1}\mathtt{f}_nK_1K_n$. For $\lambda\in\Lambda(n,r)$ and $2\leqslant k\leqslant n$, let

$$\lambda_{[1,k)}=\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_{k-1}.$$

Lemma 5.3. *For $\lambda\in\Lambda(n,r)$ and $2\leqslant k\leqslant n$. The action of $\mathtt{f}_k$ on a tensor of the form $\omega_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\omega_{k-1}^{\lambda_{k-1}}\omega_{\mathbf{j}}$ with $\mathbf{j}\in[k,n]^{r-\lambda_{[1,k)}}$ is given by the formula*

$$\mathtt{f}_k\cdot\omega_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\omega_{k-1}^{\lambda_{k-1}}\omega_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{1\leqslant s\leqslant\lambda_1} q^{1-s}\omega_1^{s-1}\omega_k\omega_1^{\lambda_1-s}\omega_2^{\lambda_2}\cdots\omega_{k-1}^{\lambda_{k-1}}\omega_{\mathbf{j}}.$$

Proof. Recall that F_i acts on $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$ via $\Delta^{(r)}(F_i)=\sum_{s=1}^r \underbrace{\tilde{K}_i^{-1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\tilde{K}_i^{-1}}_{s-1}\otimes F_i\otimes\underbrace{1\otimes\cdots\otimes 1}_{r-s}$. If $k=2$, the action $F_1\cdot\omega_1^{\lambda_1}\omega_{\mathbf{j}}=\sum_{1\leqslant s\leqslant\lambda_1}q^{1-s}\omega_1^{s-1}\omega_2\omega_1^{\lambda_1-s}\omega_{\mathbf{j}}$ ($\mathbf{j}\in[2,n]^{r-\lambda_1}$) follows from (3.1.1). Assume now $k>2$ and $\mathbf{j}\in[k,n]^{r-\lambda_{[1,k)}}$. Since $\mathtt{f}_k=[F_{k-1},\mathtt{f}_{k-1}]_{q^{-1}}$, we have

$$\mathtt{f}_k\cdot\omega_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\omega_{k-1}^{\lambda_{k-1}}\omega_{\mathbf{j}} = F_{k-1}\mathtt{f}_{k-1}\cdot\omega_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\omega_{k-1}^{\lambda_{k-1}}\omega_{\mathbf{j}} - q^{-1}\mathtt{f}_{k-1}F_{k-1}\cdot\omega_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\omega_{k-1}^{\lambda_{k-1}}\omega_{\mathbf{j}}. \tag{5.3.1}$$

Since

$$F_{k-1}\cdot\omega_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\omega_{k-1}^{\lambda_{k-1}}\omega_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{1\leqslant t\leqslant\lambda_{k-1}} q^{1-t}\omega_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\omega_{k-2}^{\lambda_{k-2}}\underbrace{\omega_{k-1}^{t-1}\omega_k\omega_{k-1}^{\lambda_{k-1}-t}\omega_{\mathbf{j}}}_{\omega_{\mathbf{j}'}},$$

where $\mathbf{j}'\in[k-1,n]^{r-\lambda_{[1,k-1)}}$, by induction,

$$\mathtt{f}_{k-1}F_{k-1}\cdot\omega_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\omega_{k-1}^{\lambda_{k-1}}\omega_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{\substack{1\leqslant t\leqslant\lambda_{k-1}\\1\leqslant s\leqslant\lambda_1}} q^{2-t-s}\omega_1^{s-1}\omega_{k-1}\omega_1^{\lambda_1-s}\omega_2^{\lambda_2}\cdots\omega_{k-2}^{\lambda_{k-2}}\omega_{k-1}^{t-1}\omega_k\omega_{k-1}^{\lambda_{k-1}-t}\omega_{\mathbf{j}}.$$

On the other hand, by induction again,

$$\begin{aligned}F_{k-1}\mathtt{f}_{k-1}\cdot\omega_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\omega_{k-1}^{\lambda_{k-1}}\omega_{\mathbf{j}} &= \sum_{1\leqslant s\leqslant\lambda_1} F_{k-1}\cdot q^{1-s}\omega_1^{s-1}\omega_{k-1}\omega_1^{\lambda_1-s}\omega_2^{\lambda_2}\cdots\omega_{k-1}^{\lambda_{k-1}}\omega_{\mathbf{j}}\\ \text{(noting the extra }\omega_{k-1}) &= \sum_{\substack{1\leqslant t\leqslant\lambda_{k-1}\\1\leqslant s\leqslant\lambda_1}} q^{1-s-t}\omega_1^{s-1}\omega_{k-1}\omega_1^{\lambda_1-s}\omega_2^{\lambda_2}\cdots\omega_{k-2}^{\lambda_{k-2}}\omega_{k-1}^{t-1}\omega_k\omega_{k-1}^{\lambda_{k-1}-t}\omega_{\mathbf{j}}\\ &\quad+\sum_{1\leqslant s\leqslant\lambda_1} q^{1-s}\omega_1^{s-1}\omega_k\omega_1^{\lambda_1-s}\omega_2^{\lambda_2}\cdots\omega_{k-1}^{\lambda_{k-1}}\omega_{\mathbf{j}}.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting into (5.3.1) cancels the double indexed sum and yields the desired formula. □

Proposition 5.4. *For $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, we have $(\zeta_r \circ \mathsf{Ev}_a)(E_n) = (\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a \circ \zeta_{\triangle,r})(E_n)$.*

Proof. We need the check that the images of both sides at $\omega_{\mathbf{i}_\lambda}$ are equal for all $\lambda \in \Lambda(n,r)$. Since $\Delta^{(r)}(E_i) = \sum_{s=1}^{r} \underbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}_{s-1} \otimes E_i \otimes \underbrace{\tilde{K}_i \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{K}_i}_{r-s}$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a \circ \zeta_{\triangle,r})(E_n) \cdot \omega_{\mathbf{i}_\lambda} &= \varepsilon_a(E_n \cdot \omega_{\mathbf{i}_\lambda}) = \varepsilon_a(q^{\lambda_n-\lambda_1+j} \sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant \lambda_1} \omega_1^{j-1}\omega_0\omega_1^{\lambda_1-j}\omega_2^{\lambda_2}\cdots\omega_n^{\lambda_n}) \\ &= \sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant \lambda_1} q^{\lambda_n-\lambda_1+j}(\omega_1^{j-1}\omega_n\omega_1^{\lambda_1-j})\omega_2^{\lambda_2}\cdots\omega_n^{\lambda_n} \cdot \mathsf{ev}_a(X_j) \\ &= aq^{\lambda_n-\lambda_1+1} \sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant \lambda_1} \omega_n\omega_1^{\lambda_1-1}\omega_2^{\lambda_2}\cdots\omega_n^{\lambda_n} T_1T_2\cdots T_{j-1} = aq^{\lambda_n-\lambda_1+1} \sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant \lambda_1} \mathtt{u}_{\lambda,1}T_1T_2\cdots T_{j-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Now applying Lemma 5.2 yields (noting that the second sum is zero if $j = 1$)

$$\begin{aligned}(\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a \circ \zeta_{\triangle,r})(E_n) \cdot \omega_{\mathbf{i}_\lambda} &= aq^{\lambda_n-\lambda_1+1} \sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant \lambda_1} \Big(q^{j-1}\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,j} + (q^2-1) \sum_{1\leqslant s\leqslant j-1} q^{2j-s-3}\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,s} \Big) \\ &= aq^{\lambda_n-\lambda_1+1} \Big(q^{\lambda_1-1}\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,\lambda_1} + \sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant \lambda_1-1} q^{j-1}\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,j} + (q^2-1) \sum_{\substack{1\leqslant s\leqslant \lambda_1-1 \\ s+1\leqslant j\leqslant \lambda_1}} q^{2j-s-3}\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,s} \Big).\end{aligned}$$

Since $(q^2-1)\sum_{s+1\leqslant j\leqslant \lambda_1} q^{2j-s-3} = q^{2\lambda_1-s-1} - q^{s-1}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a \circ \zeta_{\triangle,r})(E_n) \cdot \omega_{\mathbf{i}_\lambda} &= aq^{\lambda_n-\lambda_1+1} \Big(q^{\lambda_1-1}\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,\lambda_1} + \sum_{1\leqslant s\leqslant \lambda_1-1} q^{2\lambda_1-s-1}\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,s} \Big) \\ &= aq^{\lambda_n} \sum_{1\leqslant s\leqslant \lambda_1} q^{\lambda_1-s}\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,s}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, applying Lemma 5.3 yields

$$(\zeta_r \circ \mathsf{Ev}_a)(E_n) \cdot \omega_{\mathbf{i}_\lambda} = aq^{\lambda_1+\lambda_n-1}\mathtt{f}_n \cdot \omega_{\mathbf{i}_\lambda} = aq^{\lambda_n} \sum_{1\leqslant s\leqslant \lambda_1} q^{\lambda_1-s}\mathtt{u}_{\lambda,s}.$$

Hence, $(\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a \circ \zeta_{\triangle,r})(E_n) \cdot \omega_{\mathbf{i}_\lambda} = (\zeta_r \circ \mathsf{Ev}_a(E_n)) \cdot \omega_{\mathbf{i}_\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda(n,r)$. □

Remark 5.5. We believe that the equation $(\zeta_r \circ \mathsf{Ev}_a)(F_n) = (\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a \circ \zeta_{\triangle,r})(F_n)$ holds as well. Thus, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_\triangle(n)_{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Ev}_a} & U(n)_{\mathbb{C}} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \zeta_{\triangle,r}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \zeta_r} \\ \mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a} & \mathcal{S}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}} \end{array}$$

However, the proof is much more complicated than the E_n case. Fortunately, the Identification Theorem we will establish requires only the compatibility for the E_n case.

6. A result of Chari–Pressley

In this section, we reproduce a theorem of Chari–Pressley [3, 3.5]. There are two differences in our approach. First, the isomorphism given in [3, 2.5] and used in the proof of [3, 3.5(2)(3)] has been replaced by the isomorphism f given in 2.3 (see footnote 1). Second, the proof of [3, 3.5] used a category equivalence [3, 3.2] which turns a simple $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$-module into a simple $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$-moduleand, hence, a $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module under the evaluation map. We directly start with a simple q-Schur algebra module regarded as a simple $U_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$-module with a partition as the highest weight. It turns out that the description of the centers of the segments, which consist of the roots of a Drinfeld polynomial, is considerably simpler under this approach.

For $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(n,r)$, let $L(\lambda)$ be the simple $\mathcal{S}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module with highest weight λ.

Theorem 6.1. *For $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(n,r)$, let $L(\lambda)_a$ be the inflated $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module by the evaluation map $\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a$ in (5.1.1). If $L(\lambda)_a|_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)} \cong \bar{L}(\mathbf{P})$ with $\mathbf{P} = (P_1(u), P_2(u), \cdots, P_{n-1}(u))$, then*

$$P_j(u) = \prod_{\lambda_{j+1}+1 \leqslant s \leqslant \lambda_j} (1 - aq^{2s-1-j}u)$$

for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$. In particular, the roots of $P_j(u)$ forms the segment $[a^{-1}q^{j-\lambda_j-\lambda_{j+1}}; \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1})$ with center $a^{-1}q^{j-\lambda_j-\lambda_{j+1}}$ and length $\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1}$. (Note that $\lambda_j = \lambda_{j+1} \implies P_j(u) = 1$.)

Proof. The proof here follows that of [3, 3.5]. By Lemma 2.3, we identify $\mathfrak{D}_{\triangle,\mathbb{C}}(n)$ with $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ under the isomorphism f. Thus, $F_j = \mathtt{x}^-_{j,0}$, $E_j = \mathtt{x}^+_{j,0}$, and $K_i = \mathtt{k}_i$, for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. With the notation in (5.2.1), we have $\mathsf{Ev}_a(E_n) = aq^{-1}\mathtt{f}_n K_1 K_n$.

Fix $i \in [1, n-1]$. Recall from (2.2.1) the notation $\mathcal{X}_i$. Then (2.3.1) becomes $E_n = (-1)^{i-1}q\mathcal{X}_i\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_n$. If w_0 is a highest weight vector in $L(\lambda)_\lambda$, then, by Proposition 5.4,

$$(-1)^{i-1}q^{\lambda_n-\lambda_1+1}\mathcal{X}_i w_0 = \widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a \circ \zeta_{\triangle,r}(E_n)\cdot w_0 = \zeta_r \circ \mathsf{Ev}_a(E_n)\cdot w_0 = aq^{\lambda_1+\lambda_n-1}\mathtt{f}_n w_0.$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{X}_i w_0 = (-1)^{i-1}aq^{2(\lambda_1-1)}\mathtt{f}_n w_0. \tag{6.1.1}$$

Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_{n-1})$ with $\mu_i = \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}$ and define recursively

$$\mathcal{X}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} [F_1, [F_2, \cdots, [F_{i-1}, \mathtt{x}^-_{i,1}]_{q^{-1}} \cdots]_{q^{-1}}]_{q^{-1}}, & \text{if } j = i; \\ [F_j, \mathcal{X}_{i,j-1}]_{q^{-1}}, & \text{if } i+1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1. \end{cases}$$

Then, $\mathcal{X}_{i,n-1} = \mathcal{X}_i$ and

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n-1}\mathcal{X}_i &= E_{n-1}F_{n-1}\mathcal{X}_{i,n-2} - q^{-1}\mathcal{X}_{i,n-2}E_{n-1}F_{n-1} \\ &= \big(F_{n-1}E_{n-1} + \frac{\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_{n-1} - \widetilde{\mathtt{k}}^{-1}_{n-1}}{q-q^{-1}}\big)\mathcal{X}_{i,n-2} - q^{-1}\mathcal{X}_{i,n-2}\big(F_{n-1}E_{n-1} + \frac{\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_{n-1} - \widetilde{\mathtt{k}}^{-1}_{n-1}}{q-q^{-1}}\big). \end{aligned}$$

By (QGL2), we see that $\frac{\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_{n-1}-\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}^{-1}_{n-1}}{q-q^{-1}}\mathcal{X}_{i,n-2} = \mathcal{X}_{i,n-2}\frac{q\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_{n-1}-q^{-1}\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}^{-1}_{n-1}}{q-q^{-1}}$. Hence,

$$E_{n-1}\mathcal{X}_i w_0 = ([\mu_{n-1}+1] - q^{-1}[\mu_{n-1}])\mathcal{X}_{i,n-2}w_0.$$

Inductively, we obtain

$$E_{i+1}E_{i+2}\cdots E_{n-1}\mathcal{X}_i w_0 = \prod_{i+1\leqslant s\leqslant n-1}([\mu_s+1]-q^{-1}[\mu_s])\mathcal{X}_{i,i}w_0$$

and, similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}E_{i-1}E_{i-2}\cdots E_1\mathcal{X}_{i,i}w_0 &= E_{i-1}E_{i-2}\cdots E_1[F_1,[F_2,\cdots,[F_{i-1},\mathtt{x}^-_{i,1}]_{q^{-1}}\cdots]_{q^{-1}}]_{q^{-1}}w_0\\ &= \prod_{1\leqslant s\leqslant i-1}([\mu_s+1]-q^{-1}[\mu_s])\mathtt{x}^-_{i,1}w_0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$E_{i-1}\cdots E_2E_1E_{i+1}E_{i+2}\cdots E_{n-1}\mathcal{X}_i w_0 = \prod_{\substack{1\leqslant s\leqslant n-1\\ s\neq i}}([\mu_s+1]-q^{-1}[\mu_s])\mathtt{x}^-_{i,1}w_0. \tag{6.1.2}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}E_{i-1}\cdots E_2E_1E_{i+1}E_{i+2}\cdots E_{n-1}\mathtt{f}_n w_0 &= \prod_{i+1\leqslant s\leqslant n-1}([\mu_s+1]-q^{-1}[\mu_s])E_{i-1}\cdots E_2E_1\mathtt{f}_{i+1}w_0\\ &= \prod_{i+1\leqslant s\leqslant n-1}([\mu_s+1]-q^{-1}[\mu_s])\\ &\quad\times\prod_{1\leqslant s\leqslant i-1}([\mu_s]-q^{-1}[\mu_s+1])F_iw_0.\end{aligned}$$

This together with (6.1.1) and the fact that

$$\prod_{1\leqslant s\leqslant i-1}\frac{[\mu_s]-q^{-1}[\mu_s+1]}{[\mu_s+1]-q^{-1}[\mu_s]} = (-1)^{i-1}q^{2(\lambda_i-\lambda_1)-i+1}$$

implies that

$$\mathtt{x}^-_{i,1}w_0 = aq^{2\lambda_i-i-1}F_iw_0.$$

Applying E_i to the above equation and noting $\phi^-_{i,1}=0$ yields

$$\phi^+_{i,1}w_0 = aq^{2\lambda_i-i-1}(q^{\mu_i}-q^{-\mu_i})w_0. \tag{6.1.3}$$

By the corollary in [3, 3.5], we may assume

$$P_i(u) = \prod_{1\leqslant j\leqslant\mu_i}(1-b_iq^{2j-\mu_i-1}u).$$

Thus,

$$q^{\mu_i}\frac{P_i(q^{-2}u)}{P_i(u)} = q^{\mu_i}+q^{\mu_i}b_i(q^{\mu_i-1}-q^{-\mu_i-1})u+\mathbf{O}(u^2).$$

This together with (2.3.2) and (6.1.3) implies that

$$aq^{2\lambda_i-i-1}(q^{\mu_i}-q^{-\mu_i}) = q^{\mu_i}b_i(q^{\mu_i-1}-q^{-\mu_i-1}).$$

Hence, $b_i = aq^{\lambda_i+\lambda_{i+1}-i}$ and

$$P_i(u) = \prod_{1\leqslant j\leqslant\mu_i}(1-aq^{2(\lambda_{i+1}+j)-1-i}) = \prod_{\lambda_{i+1}+1\leqslant s\leqslant\lambda_i}(1-aq^{2s-1-i}u),$$

as required. □

7. An Identification Theorem

We now compute the dominant Drinfeld polynomials $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1(u), \dots, Q_n(u))$ such that the $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module $L(\lambda)_a \cong L(\mathbf{Q})$. By Theorem 6.1, it remains to compute $Q_n(u)$. This will be done by the action of the central elements $\mathsf{z}_t^{\pm}$ on a highest weight vector $w_0 \in L(\lambda)$.

We first apply a result of James–Mathas to compute the action of $\mathsf{z}_t^{\pm}$ on the simple $\mathcal{S}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module $L(\lambda)$ via the evaluation map $\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a$ in (5.1.1).

The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ of the symmetric groups $\mathfrak{S}_r$ admits a so-called Murphy's basis [15]

$$\{x_{\mathtt{s},\mathtt{t}} := T^*_{d(\mathtt{s})} x_\lambda T_{d(\mathtt{t})} \mid \mathtt{s},\mathtt{t} \in \mathcal{T}^s(\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda^+(r,r)\},$$

where $\mathcal{T}^s(\lambda)$ is the set of all standard λ-tableaux, $*$ is the anti-involution satisfying $T_i^* = T_i$, and $d(\mathtt{t})$ is the permutation mapping the standard λ-tableau $\mathtt{t}^\lambda$ (obtained by filling $1, 2, \dots, r$ from left to right down successive row) to $\mathtt{t}$. The subspace $\mathcal{H}^{\rhd\lambda}$ of $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ spanned by $\{x_{\mathtt{st}} \mid \mathtt{s},\mathtt{t} \in \mathcal{T}^s(\mu), \mu \rhd \lambda\}$ is a two sided ideal of $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $S^\lambda = x_\lambda \mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}/(x_\lambda \mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{H}^{\rhd\lambda})$. Then $S^\lambda \cong y_{\lambda'} T_{w_{\lambda'}} x_\lambda \mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ (see, e. g., [7, §3]).

Similarly, for partition λ of r, let $\mathcal{T}^{ss}(\lambda, n)$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}^{ss}(\lambda,\mu)$) be the set of all semistandard λ-tableaux with content in $[1,n]$ (resp., of content μ). Then, the tensor space $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r}$ can be identified with

$$\mathfrak{T}(n,r) = \oplus_{\mu\in\Lambda(n,r)} x_\lambda \mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}},$$

and the Murphy basis induces a basis (see, e.g., [10])

$$\{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathtt{S},\mathtt{t}} \mid (\mathtt{S},\mathtt{t}) \in \mathcal{T}^{ss}(\lambda,n) \times \mathcal{T}^s(\lambda),\ \forall \lambda \in \Lambda^+(n,r)\}.$$

Fix a linear ordering on $\Lambda^+(n,r) = \{\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \dots, \lambda^{(N)}\}$ which refines the dominance ordering $\trianglerighteq$, i.e., $\lambda^{(i)} \rhd \lambda^{(j)}$ implies $i < j$. For each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$, let $\mathfrak{T}_i$ denote the subspace of $\mathfrak{T}$ spanned by all $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathtt{S},\mathtt{t}}$ such that $(\mathtt{S},\mathtt{t}) \in \mathcal{T}^{ss}(\lambda,n) \times \mathcal{T}^s(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in \{\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(i)}\}$. Then we obtain a filtration by $\mathcal{S}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-$\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-subbimodules:

$$0 = \mathfrak{T}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{T}_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{T}_N = \mathfrak{T}(n,r) \tag{7.0.4}$$

such that $\mathfrak{T}_i/\mathfrak{T}_{i-1} \cong L(\lambda^{(i)}) \otimes S^{\lambda^{(i)}}$ as $\mathcal{S}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-$\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-bimodules.

For $\lambda = \lambda^{(i)}$, $\mathtt{S} \in \mathcal{T}^{ss}(\lambda,n)$, $\mathtt{s} \in \mathcal{T}^s(\lambda)$, $\mathtt{T}^\lambda \in \mathcal{T}^{ss}(\lambda,\lambda)$, and $\mathtt{t}^\lambda$ as above, let $\varphi_{\mathtt{S}} = \mathfrak{m}_{\mathtt{S},\mathtt{t}^\lambda} + \mathfrak{T}_{i-1}$ and $\psi_{\mathtt{s}} = \mathfrak{m}_{\mathtt{T}^\lambda,\mathtt{s}} + \mathfrak{T}_{i-1}$. Then

$$W^\lambda := \mathcal{S}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}} \varphi_{\mathtt{T}^\lambda}$$

is a simple $\mathcal{S}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module, isomorphic to $L(\lambda)$, and $\{\varphi_{\mathtt{S}}\}_{\mathtt{S}\in\mathcal{T}^{ss}(\lambda,n)}$ forms a basis for W^λ. Since $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathtt{T}^\lambda,\mathtt{t}^\lambda} = x_\lambda$, $\varphi_{\mathtt{T}^\lambda}\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}} = \psi_{\mathtt{t}^\lambda}\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong S^\lambda$ with basis $\{\psi_{\mathtt{s}}\}_{\mathtt{s}\in\mathcal{T}^s(\lambda)}$.

Since $\mathsf{z}_t^{\pm}$ are central elements in $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, it follows that $(\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a \circ \zeta_{\triangle,r})(\mathsf{z}_t^{\pm})$ are central in $\mathcal{S}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$. By Schur's Lemma, $(\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a \circ \zeta_{\triangle,r})(\mathsf{z}_t^{\pm})$ acts on W^λ by a scalar $c_t^{\pm}(\lambda)$. We now compute this scalar.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(n,r)$ and t a positive integer. If $(\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a \circ \zeta_{\triangle,r})(\mathsf{z}_t^{\pm})$ acts on W^λ by $c_t^{\pm}(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}$, then*

$$c_t^{\pm}(\lambda) = a^{\pm t} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \lambda_i}} q^{\pm 2t(j-i)}.$$

Proof. Under the $\mathcal{H}_{\triangle}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module isomorphism $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \cong \mathfrak{T}_{\triangle}(n,r)$ and its restriction giving an $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module isomorphism $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \cong \mathfrak{T}(n,r)$, we identify the two $\mathcal{H}_{\triangle}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-modules and the two $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-modules. In particular, the tensor $\omega_{\mathbf{i}_\lambda}$ identifies $q^{-\ell(w_{0,\lambda})} x_{\mathtt{T}^\lambda, \mathtt{t}^\lambda} = q^{-\ell(w_{0,\lambda})} x_\lambda$, where $\mathbf{i}_\lambda = (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \underbrace{n, \cdots, n}_{\lambda_n})$ and $w_{0,\lambda}$ is the longest element in $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda$.

Suppose $(\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a \circ \zeta_{\triangle,r})(\mathsf{z}_t^{\pm}) \cdot \varphi_{\mathtt{T}^\lambda} = c_t^{\pm}(\lambda) \varphi_{\mathtt{T}^\lambda}$. Then

$$(\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a \circ \zeta_{\triangle,r})(\mathsf{z}_t^{\pm})(x_\lambda) \equiv c_t^{\pm}(\lambda) x_\lambda \mod \mathfrak{T}_{i-1}. \tag{7.1.1}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.1,

$$(\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a \circ \zeta_{\triangle,r})(\mathsf{z}_t^{\pm})(x_\lambda) = \widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a(\zeta_{\triangle,r}(\mathsf{z}_t^{\pm}))(x_\lambda) = \varepsilon_a(\mathsf{z}_t^{\pm} \cdot x_\lambda) = \varepsilon_a(x_\lambda \cdot \sum_{s=1}^{r} X_t^{\pm t}) = x_\lambda \cdot \sum_{s=1}^{r} L_t^{\pm t},$$

where $L_s = \mathsf{ev}_a(X_s) \in \mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ for all $1 \leqslant s \leqslant r$. By [10, 3.7], we have

$$x_\lambda \cdot L_s \equiv \mathrm{res}_{\mathtt{t}^\lambda}(s) x_\lambda \mod x_\lambda \mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{H}^{\rhd \lambda},$$

where, if s in $\mathtt{t}^\lambda$ is at row i and column j, then $\mathrm{res}_{\mathtt{t}^\lambda}(s) = aq^{2(j-i)}$ is the residue[3] at s. Thus,

$$(\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a \circ \zeta_{\triangle,r})(\mathsf{z}_t^{\pm})(x_\lambda) = \sum_{1 \leqslant s \leqslant r} x_\lambda \cdot L_s^{\pm t} \equiv \sum_{1 \leqslant s \leqslant r} (\mathrm{res}_{\mathtt{t}^\lambda}(s))^{\pm t} x_\lambda \mod \mathfrak{T}^{i-1}.$$

Comparing this with (7.1.1) yields

$$c_t^{\pm}(\lambda) = \sum_{1 \leqslant s \leqslant r} (\mathrm{res}_{\mathtt{t}^\lambda}(s))^{\pm t} = a^{\pm t} \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n,\, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \lambda_i} q^{\pm 2t(j-i)},$$

as desired. □

Theorem 7.2. *For $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(n,r)$, suppose $L(\lambda)_a \cong L(\mathbf{Q})$ for some $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathscr{Q}(n)_r$. Then $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1(u), \cdots, Q_m(u), 1, \dots, 1)$, where m is the number of parts of λ,*

$$\frac{Q_i(uq^{i-1})}{Q_{i+1}(uq^{i+1})} = \prod_{\lambda_{i+1}+1 \leqslant s \leqslant \lambda_i} (1 - aq^{2s-1-i}u),$$

for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m-1$, and $Q_m(u) = \prod_{1 \leqslant s \leqslant \lambda_m} (1 - aq^{2(s-m)}u)$.

Proof. Since $\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a$ is surjective, $L(\lambda)_a$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{S}_{\triangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module. Thus, by [6, 4.5.8], there exists $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1(u), \cdots, Q_n(u)) \in \mathcal{Q}(n)_r$ such that $L(\lambda)_a \cong L(\mathbf{Q})$. For $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$, let

$$P_j(u) = \frac{Q_j(uq^{j-1})}{Q_{j+1}(uq^{j+1})}.$$

[3]The q, Q_1 in [10] are q^2, a here.

By Theorems 3.1 and 6.1 we have, for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$,

$$P_j(u) = \prod_{\lambda_{j+1}+1 \leqslant s \leqslant \lambda_j} (1 - aq^{2s-1-j}u).$$

Thus, if $m < n$, then $P_j(u) = 1$, for all $m+1 \leqslant j < n$. Hence, $Q_i(u) = 1$ for all $m < i \leqslant n$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(u) &= P_1(u)P_2(uq)\cdots P_{m-1}(uq^{m-2})P_m(uq^{m-1}),\\ Q_2(u) &= P_2(uq^{-1})\cdots P_{m-1}(uq^{m-4})P_m(uq^{m-3}),\\ &\cdots \qquad\qquad \cdots \qquad\qquad \cdots\\ Q_{m-1}(u) &= P_{m-1}(uq^{-m+2})P_m(uq^{-m+3}),\\ Q_m(u) &= P_m(uq^{-m+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{7.2.1}$$

In particular, $Q_m(u) = P_m(uq^{-m+1}) = \prod_{1\leqslant s\leqslant\lambda_m}(1-aq^{2(s-m)}u)$, as required in this case.

We now assume $m = n$. Then we have recursively,

$$Q_i(u) = P_i(uq^{-i+1})P_{i+1}(uq^{-i+2})\cdots P_{n-1}(uq^{n-2i})Q_n(uq^{2(n-i)}),$$

for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. We now compute $Q_n(u)$.

Let w_0 be a nonzero vector in $L(\lambda)_\lambda$. Since $\mathsf{z}_t^+ = \frac{tq^t}{[t]_q}\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}\mathsf{g}_{i,t}$ under the isomorphism f in Lemma 2.3, Lemma 7.1 implies

$$\frac{tq^t}{[t]_q}\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}\mathsf{g}_{i,t}\cdot w_0 = c_t^+(\lambda)w_0 = (\widetilde{\mathsf{ev}}_a\circ\zeta_{\triangle,r}(\mathsf{z}_t^+))w_0 = a^t\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant n\\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant\lambda_i}} q^{2t(j-i)}w_0.$$

Thus, by (3.0.5), $\mathscr{Q}_i^+(u)\cdot w_0 = Q_{i,s}w_0$ for all $s \geqslant 0$ give an identity in $L(\lambda)[[u]]$:

$$\prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} Q_i(u)w_0 = \prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}\mathscr{Q}_i^+(u)\cdot w_0.$$

However, by (2.3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}\mathscr{Q}_i^+(u)\cdot w_0 &= \exp\Big(-\sum_{t\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{[t]_q}\Big(\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}\mathsf{g}_{i,t}\Big)(uq)^t\Big)w_0\\ &= \exp\Big(-\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant n\\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant\lambda_i}}\sum_{t\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{t}(auq^{2(j-i)})^t\Big)w_0\\ &= \prod_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant n\\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant\lambda_i}}\exp\Big(-\sum_{t\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{t}(auq^{2(j-i)})^t\Big)w_0\\ &= \prod_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant n\\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant\lambda_i}}\Big(1-auq^{2(j-i)}\Big)w_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} Q_i(u) = \prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}\prod_{1\leqslant j\leqslant\lambda_i}\Big(1-aq^{2(j-i)}u\Big). \tag{7.2.2}$$

Since $Q_i(u) = P_i(uq^{-i+1})P_{i+1}(uq^{-i+2})\cdots P_{n-1}(uq^{n-2i})Q_n(uq^{2(n-i)})$, we have

$$\prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} Q_i(u) = \prod_{1\leqslant k\leqslant n-1}\Big(P_k(uq^{-k+1})P_k(uq^{-k+3})\cdots P_k(uq^{k-1})\Big)\prod_{0\leqslant l\leqslant n-1} Q_n(uq^{2l}). \tag{7.2.3}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{1\leqslant k\leqslant n-1}\Big(P_k(uq^{-k+1})P_k(uq^{-k+3})\cdots P_k(uq^{k-1})\Big) &= \prod_{1\leqslant k\leqslant n-1}\prod_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant k\\ \lambda_{k+1}+1\leqslant j\leqslant\lambda_k}}(1-aq^{2(j-i)}u)\\ &= \prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}\prod_{\substack{i\leqslant k\leqslant n-1\\ \lambda_{k+1}+1\leqslant j\leqslant\lambda_k}}(1-aq^{2(j-i)}u)\\ &= \prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}\prod_{\lambda_n+1\leqslant j\leqslant\lambda_i}(1-aq^{2(j-i)}u).\end{aligned}$$

This together with (7.2.2) and (7.2.3) implies that

$$\prod_{0\leqslant l\leqslant n-1} Q_n(uq^{2l}) = \prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}\prod_{1\leqslant j\leqslant\lambda_n}(1-aq^{2(j-i)}u).$$

Hence, we have in $L(\lambda)[[u]]$:

$$\prod_{0\leqslant l\leqslant n-1}\mathscr{Q}_n(uq^{2l})\cdot w_0 = \prod_{0\leqslant l\leqslant n-1} Q_n(uq^{2l})w_0 = \prod_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant n\\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant\lambda_n}}(1-aq^{2(j-i)}u)w_0.$$

On the other hand, by (2.3.3) again,

$$\prod_{0\leqslant l\leqslant n-1}\mathscr{Q}_n(uq^{2l})\cdot w_0 = \exp\Big(-\sum_{t\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{[t]_q}\mathsf{g}_{n,t}\Big(\sum_{0\leqslant l\leqslant n-1}q^{2lt}(uq)^t\Big)\Big)\cdot w_0 \tag{7.2.4}$$

Applying $\ln(\)$ formerly yields,

$$\begin{aligned}-\sum_{t\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{[t]_q}\mathsf{g}_{n,t}\Big(\sum_{0\leqslant l\leqslant n-1}q^{(2l+1)t}\Big)u^t\cdot w_0 &= \sum_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant n\\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant\lambda_n}}\ln(1-aq^{2(j-i)}u)w_0\\ &= -\sum_{t\geqslant 1}\Big(\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant n\\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant\lambda_n}}\frac{1}{t}(aq^{2(j-i)})^t\Big)u^t w_0.\end{aligned}$$

Equating coefficients of u^t gives

$$\frac{1}{[t]_q}\mathsf{g}_{n,t}\sum_{0\leqslant l\leqslant n-1}q^{(2l+1)t}\cdot w_0 = \frac{1}{t}\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant n\\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant\lambda_n}}(aq^{2(j-i)})^t w_0.$$

Since

$$\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant\lambda_n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(aq^{2(j-i)})^t = \sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant\lambda_n}\frac{a^t q^{2(j-1)t}(1-q^{-2tn})}{1-q^{-2t}}$$

and $\sum_{0\leqslant l\leqslant n-1}q^{(2l+1)t} = \frac{q^{2tn}-1}{q^t-q^{-t}}$, it follows that

$$\mathsf{g}_{n,t}\cdot w_0 = \frac{[t]_q}{t}\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant\lambda_n}(aq^{2j-2n-1})^t w_0.$$

Substituting it in (7.2.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{Q}_n(u)\cdot w_0 &= \exp\Big(-\sum_{t\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{t}\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant \lambda_n}(aq^{2(j-n)}u)^t\Big)w_0\\ &= \prod_{1\leqslant j\leqslant\lambda_n}(1-aq^{2(j-n)}u)w_0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain $Q_n(u)=\prod_{1\leqslant j\leqslant\lambda_n}(1-aq^{2(j-n)}u)$. □

Now, (7.2.1) together with Theorem 6.1 implies immediately the following.

Corollary 7.3. *Suppose $a\in\mathbb{C}^*$ and $\lambda\in\Lambda^+(n,r)$ has m parts. If $L(\lambda)_a\cong L(\mathbf{Q})$ for some $\mathbf{Q}\in\mathscr{Q}(n)_r$, then, for all $1\leqslant i\leqslant m$, $Q_i(u)$ is the polynomial with degree λ_i, constant 1, and roots forming the segment $[a^{-1}q^{-\lambda_i+2i-1};\lambda_i)$.*

8. Application to affine Hecke algebras

By the evaluation map $\mathsf{ev}_a:\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C}\to\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$ defined in (5.0.2), every $\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$-module N defines a $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C}$-module N_a. We now identify the simple $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\mathbb{C}$-modules $(E_\mu)_a$ for every partition μ and $a\in\mathbb{C}^*$ in terms of multisegments in $\mathscr{S}_r$. Recall from [12, Th. 1.4] that the left cell modules E_λ ($\lambda\in\Lambda^+(r)$) defined in (4.2.1) form a complete set of simple $\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$-modules.

By Theorem 7.2, we may define a map

$$\widetilde{\partial}:\Lambda^+(n,r)\times\mathbb{C}^*\longrightarrow\mathcal{Q}(n)_r,\quad(\lambda,a)\longmapsto\mathbf{Q}(\lambda,a)=(Q_1(u),\dots,Q_n(u)),$$

where $Q_n(u)=\prod_{1\leqslant k\leqslant\lambda_n}(1-aq^{2(k-n)}u)$ and $P_i(u)=\frac{Q_i(uq^{i-1})}{Q_{i+1}(uq^{i+1})}=\prod_{\lambda_{i+1}+1\leqslant k\leqslant\lambda_i}(1-aq^{2k-1-i}u)$ for $1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1$. If $n>r$ and $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_m)$ has $m(\leqslant r)$ parts, then $\mathbf{Q}(\lambda,a)$ has the form $(Q_1(u),\dots,Q_m(u),1,\dots,1)$ as in (7.2.1). We now compute $\partial^{-1}(\mathbf{Q}(\lambda,a))$.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $n>r$. Suppose the map $\partial^{-1}\circ\widetilde{\partial}:\Lambda^+(r)\times\mathbb{C}^*\longrightarrow\mathscr{S}_r$ is given by $(\lambda,a)\longmapsto\mathbf{s}(\lambda,a)$ and λ has m parts. Then*

$$\mathbf{s}(\lambda,a)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{\lambda_{i+1}+1\leqslant k\leqslant\lambda_i}[aq^{2k-1-i};i),$$

and the partition associated with $\mathbf{s}(\lambda,a)$ is λ'.

Proof. Since the (inverses of the) roots of $P_i(u)$ are

$$\{aq^{2k-1-i}\mid\lambda_{i+1}+1\leqslant k\leqslant\lambda_i\},$$

by the definition of ∂, $\mathbf{s}(\lambda,a)$ consists of $\lambda_i-\lambda_{i+1}$ segments with length i and centers $aq^{2k-1-i},\lambda_{i+1}+1\leqslant k\leqslant\lambda_i$, for all $1\leqslant i\leqslant m$. □

Recall from (4.2.1) that, for each $\mu\in\Lambda^+(r)$, E_μ is the left cell module for $\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}$ defined by Kazhdan–Lusztig's C-basis [12] associated with the left cell containing $w_{0,\mu}$, where $w_{0,\mu}$ is the longest element in $\mathfrak{S}_\mu$. If S_μ denotes the Specht module contained in $\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}x_\mu$ (so that $S_\mu=\mathcal{H}(r)_\mathbb{C}y_{\mu'}T_{w_{\mu'}}x_\mu$), then $S_{\lambda'}\cong E_\lambda$.

Proposition 8.2. *For $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(r)$. Let $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}(\lambda, a)$ as above. Then $(S_\lambda)_a \cong V_{\mathbf{s}}$.*

Proof. Assume $n > r$. Then there is an idempotent $e \in \mathcal{S}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $e\mathcal{S}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}e \cong \mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$. This algebra isomorphism gives rise to the so-called Schur functor from the category of finite dimensional $\mathcal{S}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-modules to the category of finite dimensional $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-modules by sending N to eN. By Theorems 4.4 and 7.2, we have

$$L(\lambda)_a \cong L(\mathbf{Q}) \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_{\mathbb{C}}} V_{\mathbf{s}},$$

where $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}(\lambda, a)$. Restriction gives an $\mathcal{S}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module isomorphism $L(\lambda) \cong \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}} V_{\mathbf{s}}$. By applying Schur's functor, we see that $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module $V_{\mathbf{s}}$ is irreducible. Hence, $V_{\mathbf{s}} = E_{\lambda'}$. Therefore, $(S_\lambda)_a \cong V_{\mathbf{s}}$. □

Corollary 8.3. (1) *For any partition $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(r)$ and $n \geqslant r$, if $L(\lambda)$ is the simple $\mathcal{S}(n,r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module with highest weight λ, then $eL(\lambda) \cong S_\lambda$.*

(2) *If $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}(n)_r$ and $\lambda = (\deg Q_1, \dots, \deg Q_n)$, and μ is a weight of $L(\mathbf{Q})$, then $\mu \trianglelefteq \lambda$ under the dominance order $\trianglelefteq$.*

Proof. Statement (1) follows the proof above. It remains to prove the second statement. By applying another type of Schur functor, we may assume $n > r$. Thus, $L(\mathbf{Q}) \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_{\mathbb{C}}} V_{\mathbf{s}}$, for some $\mathbf{s} \in \mathscr{S}_r$ such that $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{s}}$, and λ' is the partition associated with $\mathbf{s}$. Since, as a $\mathcal{H}(r)_{\mathbb{C}}$-module, $V_{\mathbf{s}} \cong E_{\lambda'} \oplus (\oplus_{\nu \rhd \lambda'} n_{\nu,\lambda} E_\nu)$ by (4.2.1). Thus, as a $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$-module, the first assertion implies

$$L(\mathbf{Q}) \cong L(\lambda) \oplus (\oplus_{\nu \rhd \lambda'} n_{\nu,\lambda} \Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes S_{\nu'}) \cong L(\lambda) \oplus (\oplus_{\nu \rhd \lambda'} n_{\nu,\lambda} L(\nu')),$$

where the second sum is over ν with $\Omega_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes r} \otimes S_{\nu'} \neq 0$. Now, since $\nu \rhd \lambda'$ implies $\nu' \lhd \lambda$ and the weight spaces of $L(\mathbf{Q})$ as $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module or as $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ are the same, our assertion follows. □

Part (2) of the result above is [6, Lem. 4.5.1]. The proof here is different.

References

[1] J. Beck, *Braid group action and quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **165** (1994), 655–568.

[2] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **142** (1991), 261–283.

[3] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Small representations of quantum affine algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. **30** (1994), 131–145.

[4] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A Guide to Quantum Groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[5] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras and affine Hecke algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **174** (1996), 295–326.

[6] B. Deng, J. Du and Q. Fu, *A double Hall algebra approach to affine quantum Schur–Weyl theory*, LMS Lecture Notes Series (to appear).

[7] R. Dipper and G. James, *Blocks and idempotents of Hecke algebras of general linear groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc **53** (1987), 57–82.

[8] V. G. Drinfeld, *A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine alegbras*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **32** (1988), 212–216.

[9] E. Frenkel and E. Mukhin, *The Hopf algebra* Rep $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\infty)$, Sel. math., New Ser. **8** (2002), 537–635.

[10] G. James and A. Mathas, *The Jantzen sum formula for cyclotomic q-Schur algebras* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 5381–5404.

[11] M. Jimbo, *A q-analogue of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N+1))$, Hecke algebra, and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Letters in Math. Physics **11** (1986), 247–252.

[12] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke Algebras,* Invent. Math. **53** (1979), 165–184.

[13] Z. Lin, and H. Rui, *Cyclotomic q-Schur algebras and Schur-Weyl duality*, Representations of algebraic groups, quantum groups, and Lie algebras, 133–155, Contemp. Math., **413**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.

[14] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Progress in Math. **110**, Birkhäuser, 1993.

[15] G. E. Murphy, *On the representation theory of the symmetric groups and associated Hecke algebras*, J. Algebra **152** (1992) 492–513.

[16] J. D. Rogawski, *On modules over the Hecke algebra of a p-adic group*, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), 443–465.

[17] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *On the decomposition matrices of the quantized Schur algebra*, Duke Math. J. **100** (1999), 267–297.

School of Mathematics, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia.
E-mail address: j.du@unsw.edu.au

Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai, 200092, China.
E-mail address: q.fu@hotmail.com